

# A Proof Primer

## Class 2

# Administrative

- video on
- “Hello” in chat tool
- preferred first name and last name in zoom profile
- questions about anything in Monday’s material?
- questions about the assignment due tomorrow?

# Submission

- you can re-submit as many times as you wish
- until the due date and time
- if you submit after it's due
  1. I may not see it unless you let me know
  2. it may get a late penalty

## 1.1 A Proof Primer

- introduces some terminology
- introduces four “informal” proof techniques
  - exhaustive checking
  - direct proof of implication
  - indirect proof by contrapositive
  - indirect proof by contradiction

# Truth Tables

- much of this course is about Boolean algebra
- a Boolean expression has exactly one of two possible values, usually denoted **true** and **false**
- simple declarative English statements are Boolean statements:  
**it is raining**
- the values of a Boolean expression can be tabulated with a **truth table**
- a truth table is table that lists all possible values for a set of Boolean variables (inputs)
- and a set of the corresponding values of Boolean expressions (outputs)

# Boolean Operations

- there are a number of operations that exist in Boolean algebra
- some of the most important are:
  - unary negation (not)
  - binary conjunction (and)
  - binary disjunction (or)
  - binary implication (if-then, also called the conditional)

## Not's Truth Table

- consider the declaration “it is raining”
- we normally represent statements with upper-case math letters:

$A = \text{it is raining}$

- the negation of this statement is “not  $A$ ” or  $\neg A$ : “it is not raining”
- we can use a truth table to show the possible input values of  $A$
- along with the corresponding output values of  $\neg A$

$A$	$\neg A$
T	F
F	T

## Binary Operator Truth Tables

- let  $A$  be a declaration (e.g., “it is raining”)
- let  $B$  be a declaration (e.g., “my name is Xerxes”)
- we use a truth table to show the possible input values of  $A$  and  $B$
- along with the corresponding output values of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A$  or  $B$ , and  $A$  implies  $B$

$A$	$B$	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$A \rightarrow B$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	T



## Proof by Exhaustive Checking

- the simplest proof technique
- typically used for Boolean expressions
- small, finite number of cases

example: prove  $\neg(A \wedge B)$  is equivalent to  $(\neg A) \vee (\neg B)$

<hr/>						
			3			6
$A$	$B$	$A \wedge B$	$\neg(A \wedge B)$	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$\neg A \vee \neg B$
<hr/>						
T	T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T
<hr/>						

since columns 3 and 6 are identical, their expressions are equivalent

## Proof by Exhaustive Checking Example 2

- prove  $A \rightarrow B$  is equivalent to  $\neg A \vee B$

## Proof by Exhaustive Checking Example 2

- prove  $A \rightarrow B$  is equivalent to  $\neg A \vee B$

<hr/>				
		2		4
$A$	$B$	$A \rightarrow B$	$\neg A$	$\neg A \vee B$
<hr/>				
T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

since columns 2 and 4 are identical, their expressions are equivalent

## Exhaustive Checking

- exhaustive checking is often used for Boolean expressions because the number of possible cases is relatively small
- sometimes for integer problems we can also use exhaustive checking

example: prove that for  $n$  between 2 and 7 inclusive,  $n^2 + 2$  is not divisible by 4

- we set up a table similar to a truth table:

$n$	$n^2 + 2$	$4 n^2 + 2$
2	6	F
3	11	F
4	18	F
5	27	F
6	38	F
7	51	F

- by exhaustively checking all possibilities, the proof is demonstrated

# Exhaustive Checking

example: prove that for  $n$  between 2 and 500 inclusive,  $n^2 + 2$  is not divisible by 4

- manually building a table for this is possible but quite tedious
- instead we write a program to do the exhaustive checking for us

```
1 int main()
2 {
3     bool proved = true;
4     unsigned n = 2;
5     while (proved && n <= 500)
6     {
7         if ((n * n + 2) % 4 == 0)
8         {
9             proved = false;
10        }
11        else
12        {
13            n++;
14        }
15    }
16    if (proved)
17    {
18        cout << "Proved by exhaustive checking" << endl;
19    }
20    else
21    {
22        cout << "Disproved by counterexample n = " << n << endl;
23    }
24    return 0;
25 }
```

# Exhaustive Checking

- prove that for all non-negative integers  $n$ ,  $n^2 + 2$  is not divisible by 4
- this cannot be done by exhaustive checking because there are infinitely many non-negative integers
- if there are infinitely many input values, exhaustive checking can sometimes **disprove** an assertion by finding even a single counterexample
- but exhaustive checking can never be used to prove an assertion when there are infinitely many input values

## Contrapositive

- if  $A$  then  $B$  is implication
- if  $\neg B$  then  $\neg A$  is the implication's **contrapositive**
- let's examine the truth table

		2	5			
$A$	$B$	$A \rightarrow B$	$\neg B$	$\neg A$	$\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$	
T	T	T	F	F	T	
T	F	F	T	F	F	
F	T	T	F	T	T	
F	F	T	T	T	T	

since columns 2 and 5 are identical, an implication and its contrapositive are equivalent

- we can prove an implication by proving its contrapositive
- this is called **indirect proof by contrapositive**
- or, simply **proof by contrapositive**



## Proof by Contrapositive

- to prove an implication, we assume the antecedent is true
- and then see if that leads us to the consequent
  
- sometimes this is straightforward
- but sometimes it's not
  
- the contrapositive of an implication is also an implication
- again, assume the antecedent, reason to the consequent
- if we prove the **contrapositive** implication, then we have also proved the original implication **indirectly**
  
- sometimes this is easier than proving the original implication **directly**

# Proof by Contradiction

- a **contradiction** is a statement that is false
- proof by contradiction has the following steps:
  1. assume the original statement to be proved is **false**
  2. use direct proof techniques to show the assumption leads to a **contradiction**
  3. conclude that the original statement must **not** have been false, so it is proved true

## Proof by Contradiction Example

- prove that the square root of 2 is irrational

**Step 1** assume the square root of 2 is rational, i.e.,  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$  where  $\frac{a}{b}$  is in lowest terms.

**Step 2** at least one of  $a$  or  $b$  is odd; if they were both even,  $\frac{a}{b}$  is not in lowest terms

since  $\frac{a}{b} = \sqrt{2}$ , square both sides and rearrange to get  $a^2 = 2b^2$   
therefore  $a^2$  must be even, and since the square of an odd number is also odd, then  $a$  must be even

since  $a$  is even, then  **$b$  must be odd**

however, if  $a$  is even, then  $a^2$  must be a multiple of 4  
since  $a^2 = 2b^2$ , then  $2b^2$  must also be a multiple of 4, and so  $b^2$  must be even, which means that  **$b$  must be even**

**Step 3** since  $b$  must be both odd and even, which is impossible, we have reached a contradiction; therefore the original statement is proved true