# Countability

Class 9

(日) (월) (일) (일) (일) (일)

# Happy Programmer's Day!

(日) (월) (일) (일) (일) (일)

# Happy Programmer's Day!

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣 ─

13 September is the 256th day of a non-leap year

## 2.4 Countability

- let A and B be sets
- if there is a bijection from A to B, then clearly |A| = |B|
- i.e., A and B have the same cardinality
- if there is an injection from A to B, then  $|A| \leq |B|$
- this leads directly to an interesting fact
- is there a bijection from the natural numbers to the odd natural numbers?
- yes: f(n) = 2n + 1
- therefore, |N| = |Odd|
- there are exactly the same number of natural numbers as there are odd natural numbers

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q



#### Countable Set

A set is countable if it is finite or if there is a bijection from it to the natural numbers.

• if there is such a bijection, the set is countably infinite

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

• the alternative is that the set is uncountable

# Countability

- $\bullet$  prove that the Cartesian product N  $\times$  N is countable
- to do so, we must find a bijection  $\mathsf{N}\times\mathsf{N}\to\mathsf{N}$
- a bijection is not obvious, but one was provided by Georg Cantor

# Cantor

- Russian-born German
- 1845 1918
- created set theory
- defined infinity and countability
- he proved that infinity is not absolute — that there are infinities beyond infinity
- he was a devout religious Christian
- but was viciously and repeatedly attacked by theologians who believed God is infinite and nothing can be more infinite, including numbers



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへの

# Cantor's Pairing

	tuple	<u>sum</u>	position
	(0, 0)	0	0
	(1, 0)	1	1
$N \times N$ is a set of tuples:	(0, 1)	1	2
	(2, 0)	2	3
$\{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),\ldots,$	(1, 1)	2	4
$(2,0),(1,1),(0,2),\ldots,$	(0, 2)	2	5
$(3,0),(2,1),(1,2),(0,3),\dots$	(3, 0)	3	6
	(2, 1)	3	7
Cantor made up a table with three	(1, 2)	3	8
columns: tuple, sum of entries, and	(0, 3)	3	9
position, sorted by sum ascending, then	(4, 0)	4	10
by first tuple element descending	(3, 1)	4	11
	(2, 2)	4	12
	(1, 3)	4	13
	(0, 4)	4	14

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Cantor's Pairing

- Cantor's pairing provides a unique position value for each tuple
- the exact formula for tuple (x, y) is

$$f(x,y) = \frac{(x+y)^2 + 3y + x}{2}$$

- this is a bijection: 1-to-1 and onto
- each tuple of  $\mathsf{N}\times\mathsf{N}$  is mapped to exactly one element of  $\mathsf{N}$
- and each natural number corresponds to one tuple
- therefore  $\mathsf{N}\times\mathsf{N}$  is countable, and countably infinite
- note that Hein's position value is not exactly the same as Cantor's
- Hein orders the table by sum ascending, then by first element ascending instead of Cantor's descending

## Countable Rationals

- the rational numbers are countable by the following argument (note that a rational number is always in lowest terms, so we don't have duplicates)
- the rationals can be partitioned into (i.e., are the union of) the positive rationals  $Q^+$ , the negative rationals  $Q^-$ , and zero
- since every positive rational is equivalent to a tuple in N  $\times$  N, we know that the positive rationals are countably infinite
- by the same argument, every negative rational is equivalent to a tuple in N  $\times$  N, and so the negative rationals are also countably infinite
- finally, the value zero is finite (and of size 1)
- each constituent of the partition is itself countable
- Cantor proved that the union of countable sets is countable

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

• therefore the rationals are countable

#### Uncountable Reals

- Cantor proved the real numbers are not countable
- the technique he used is called diagonalization
- consider just the real numbers between 0 and 1 and assume they are countable
- then they can be written in some order (position):

.

$$r_1 = 0.d_{11}d_{12}d_{13}...$$
  

$$r_2 = 0.d_{21}d_{22}d_{33}...$$
  

$$r_3 = 0.d_{31}d_{32}d_{33}...$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### Uncountable Reals

• for example, let

 $r_1 = 0.23794102...$   $r_2 = 0.44590138...$   $r_3 = 0.09118764...$  $r_4 = 0.80553900...$ 

- note the diagonal entries highlighted in red
- now consider another rational number  $R = 0.d_1d_2d_3...$  where the  $d_i$ s are

$$d_i = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } d_{ii} \neq 4 \\ 5 & \text{if } d_{ii} = 4 \end{cases}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- the new rational number is 0.4544...
- $d_1 = 4$  because  $r_{11} \neq 4$
- $d_2 = 5$  because  $r_{22} = 4$ , etc.

# Uncountable Reals

- every real number has a unique decimal expansion
- the new real R = 0.4544... from the previous slide is not in the original list of  $r_i$ s because R differs from  $r_i$  at each *i*th place
- thus there is at least one value between 0 and 1 that is not in the original list
- but this is a contradiction, so the original assumption is false

# Some Things Cannot Be Computed

- every computer program is a string over the ASCII alphabet
- by a counting argument on strings, the number of computer programs is countably infinite
- by a diagonalization argument very similar to the one above, the number of different natural-number functions *f* : N → N is uncountable
- thus there are more functions than there are computer programs
- by the pigeonhole principle, some functions cannot be computed
- in a totally different way, Alan Turing also proved via the Halting Problem that some things cannot be computed