

Program Correctness (Section 8.1)

Program Correctness (for imperative programs)

- A theory of program correctness needs wffs, axioms, and inference rules. The wffs (called *Hoare triples*) are of the form: $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ where S is a program statement and P (a precondition) and Q (a postcondition) are logical statements about the variables of S .
- *Semantics*: The meaning of $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ is the truth value of the statement:
 - If P is true before S executes, then Q is true after S halts.
- Note that it is assumed that S halts. If $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ is true, then S is said to be *correct* with respect to (wrt) precondition P and postcondition Q .

Assignment Axiom

- The **Assignment Axiom (AA)** is: $\{P(x/t)\} x := t \{P\}$.
- *Example:* $\{x = 4\} x := x - 1 \{x = 3\}$
- **Consequence Rules** are
 - $$\frac{P \rightarrow R \text{ and } \{R\}S\{Q\}}{\{P\}S\{Q\}}$$
 - $$\frac{\{P\}S\{T\} \text{ and } T \rightarrow Q}{\{P\}S\{Q\}}$$

Example Proof

Prove the correctness of $\{x < 3\}x := x - 1\{x < 3\}$. Proof:

1. $\{x < 4\}x := x - 1\{x < 3\}$ AA
2. $x < 3$ P [for $(x < 3) \rightarrow (x < 4)$]
3. $x < 4$ 2,T
4. $(x < 3) \rightarrow (x < 4)$ 2,3,CP
5. $\{x < 3\}x := x - 1\{x < 3\}$ 1,4,Consequence. QED

Another Correctness Proof

Prove the correctness of

$$\{\exists x (y = 2x)\}y := y + 3\{\exists x (y = 2x + 1)\}.$$

- | | | |
|----|--|-----------------------------|
| 1. | $\{\exists x (y + 3 = 2x + 1)\}y := y + 3$ | AA |
| | $\{\exists x (y = 2x + 1)\}$ | |
| 2. | $\exists x (y = 2x)$ | P [for CP] |
| 3. | $y = 2c$ | 2,EI |
| 4. | $(y = 2c) \rightarrow (y + 3 = 2c + 3)$ | EE, where
$f(x) = x + 3$ |
| 5. | $y + 3 = 2c + 3$ | 3,4,MP |
| 6. | $y + 3 = 2(c + 1) + 1$ | 5,T |
| 7. | $\exists x (y + 3 = 2x + 1)$ | 6,EG |
| 8. | $\exists x (y = 2x) \rightarrow \exists x (y + 3 = 2x + 1)$ | 2-7,CP |
| 9. | $\{\exists x (y = 2x)\}y := y + 3\{\exists x (y = 2x + 1)\}$ | 1,8,Consequence |
| | QED | |

Composition Rule

- **Composition Rule** is:
 - $$\frac{\{P\}S_1\{Q\} \text{ and } \{Q\}S_2\{R\}}{\{P\}S_1;S_2\{R\}}$$

Example proof

Prove the correctness of $\{x < 2\}y := 2x; x := y - 3\{x < 1\}$

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. $\{y - 3 < 1\}x := y - 3\{x < 1\}$ | AA |
| 2. $\{2x - 3 < 1\}y := 2x\{y - 3 < 1\}$ | AA |
| 3. $\{2x - 3 < 1\}y := 2x; x := y - 3\{x < 1\}$ | 1,2,Composition |
| 4. $x < 2$ | P [for $(x < 2) \rightarrow (2x - 3 < 1)$] |
| 5. $2x < 4$ | 4,T |
| 6. $2x - 3 < 1$ | 5,T |
| 7. $(x < 2) \rightarrow (2x - 3 < 1)$ | 4-6,CP |
| 8. $\{x < 2\}y := 2x; x := y - 3\{x < 1\}$ | 3,7,Consequence |
| QED | |

If Rules

- **If-Then Rule:**

- $$\frac{\{P \wedge C\} S \{Q\} \text{ and } P \wedge \neg C \rightarrow Q}{\{P\} \text{if } C \text{ then } S \{Q\}}$$

- **If-Then-Else Rule:**

- $$\frac{\{P \wedge C\} S_1 \{Q\} \text{ and } \{P \wedge \neg C\} S_2 \{Q\}}{\{P\} \text{if } C \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \{Q\}}$$

If proof

Prove the correctness of $\{x > 0\}$ **if** $x > 1$ **then** $x := x - 1\{x > 0\}$

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1. $\{x - 1 > 0\}x := x - 1\{x > 0\}$ | AA |
| 2. $(x > 0) \wedge (x > 1)$ | P [for CP] |
| 3. $x > 1$ | 2,Simp |
| 4. $x - 1 > 0$ | 3,T |
| 5. $(x > 0) \wedge (x > 1) \rightarrow (x - 1 > 0)$ | 2-4,CP |
| 6. $\{(x > 0) \wedge (x > 1)\}x := x - 1\{x > 0\}$ | 1,5,Consequence |
| 7. $(x > 0) \wedge \neg(x > 1)$ | P [for CP] |
| 8. $x > 0$ | 7,Simp |
| 9. $(x > 0) \wedge \neg(x > 1) \rightarrow (x > 0)$ | 7-8,CP |
| 10. $\{x > 0\}$ if $x > 1$ then $x := x - 1\{x > 0\}$ | 6,9,If-Then |
- QED

While rule

- $$\frac{\{P \wedge C\} S \{P\}}{\{P\} \mathbf{while} C \mathbf{do} S \{P \wedge \neg C\}}$$
- P is called a *loop invariant*

Additional issues

Two additional issues that the book investigates are: arrays and array indices, and loop termination.

Section 8.2

This section is about Higher-Order Logic. This allows us to, for example, quantify over predicates, rather than just variables. We won't study this idea.