

## Logic Programming (end of Section 8.3)

# Logic Programming

- A **logic program** is a set of clauses with the restriction that there is exactly one positive literal in each clause. Such clauses are often called *definite* clauses.
- *Example:* Let  $p(x, y)$  mean  $x$  is a parent of  $y$  and let  $g(x, y)$  mean  $x$  is a grandparent of  $y$ . Here are some ways to represent a definition of the grandparent relation:

**First-order logic:**  $\forall x \forall y \forall z (p(x, z) \wedge p(x, y) \rightarrow g(x, y))$

**First-order clause:**  $g(x, y) \vee \neg p(x, z) \vee \neg p(x, y)$

**Logic programming:**  $g(x, y) \leftarrow p(x, z), p(z, y)$

**Prolog:**  $g(X, Y) : -p(X, Z), p(Z, Y).$

## General idea

- A *query* or *goal* is a question that asks whether the program infers something. The something is a sequence of one or more atoms and the question is whether there is a substitution that can be applied to the atoms so that the resulting atoms are inferred by the program.
- *Example:* Suppose we have the following little logic program:
  - $p(a, b)$ .
  - $p(b, d)$ .
  - $g(x, y) \leftarrow p(x, z), p(z, y)$ .
- Let  $g(a, w)$  be a query. It asks whether  $a$  has a grandchild. If we let  $\theta = \{w/d\}$ , then  $g(a, w)\theta = g(a, d)$ , which says  $a$  has a grandchild  $d$ . This follows from the two program facts  $p(a, b)$  and  $p(b, d)$  and the definition of  $g$ . So  $g(a, d)$  is inferred by the program.

## Logic Programming Representation of a Query

- To see whether a query can be inferred from a program, a resolution proof is attempted. The premises are the program clauses together with the negation of the query, which can be written as a clause with only negative literals.
- *Example:* Given the query  $g(a, w), p(u, w)$ . Its formal meaning is  $\exists w \exists u (g(a, w) \wedge p(u, w))$ . So we negate it and convert it to a clause. Here are some representations of the query:

**First-order logic:**  $\neg \exists w \exists u (g(a, w) \wedge p(u, w))$   
 $\equiv \forall w \forall u \neg (g(a, w) \wedge p(u, w))$

**First-order clause:**  $\neg g(a, w) \vee \neg p(u, w)$ .

**Logic programming:**  $\leftarrow g(a, w), p(u, w)$ .

**Prolog:**  $|? - g(a, W), p(U, W)$ .

## SLD Resolution

- **SLD-resolution** is a form of resolution used to execute logic programs. SLD means selective linear resolution of definite clauses. Select the leftmost atom of the goal; Linear (each resolvent depends on the previous resolvent) and Definite clauses are the clauses of a logic program.
- *Example:* Given the following little logic program and a query, where the clauses are also listed in first-order form:

### Logic Programming Syntax

$p(a, b).$

$p(b, d).$

$g(x, y) \leftarrow p(x, z), p(z, y).$

### First-Order Clauses

$p(a, b).$

$p(b, d).$

$g(x, y) \vee \neg p(x, z) \vee \neg p(z, y).$

The query:

$\leftarrow g(a, w), p(u, w). \quad \neg g(a, w) \vee \neg p(u, w).$

# Resolution Proof

The resolution proof:

## Logic Programming Syntax

1.  $p(a, b)$
  2.  $p(b, d)$
  3.  $g(x, y) \leftarrow p(x, z), p(z, y)$
  4.  $\leftarrow g(a, w), p(u, w)$
  5.  $\leftarrow p(a, z), p(z, y), p(u, y)$
  6.  $\leftarrow p(b, y), p(u, y)$
  7.  $\leftarrow p(u, d)$
  8.  $[]$
- QED

## First-Order Clauses

- |                                  |                       |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| $p(a, b)$                        | P                     |
| $p(b, d)$                        | P                     |
| $g(x, y) \vee \neg p(x, z)$      |                       |
| $\vee \neg p(z, y)$              | P                     |
| $\neg g(a, w) \vee \neg p(u, w)$ | P                     |
| $\neg p(a, z) \vee \neg p(z, y)$ |                       |
| $\vee \neg p(u, y)$              | 3,4,R, $\{x/a, w/y\}$ |
| $\neg p(b, y) \vee \neg p(u, y)$ | 1,5,R, $\{z/b\}$      |
| $\neg p(u, d)$                   | 2,6,R, $\{y, d\}$     |
| $[]$                             | 2,7,R, $\{u/b\}$      |